The Chinese University of Hong Kong 2019/20 2nd semester UGED1112B Logic and Argumentation 邏輯與論辯 Course Outline

Instructor: Dr. Kwok Pak Nin, Samson

Time: Lecture- Thursday 10:30-12:15; Tutorial- TBA

Venue: Lecture- CYT 203; Tutorial- TBA

Course overview:

This course is designed to develop the student's ability to analyze and critically evaluate arguments from a logical point of view. It will provide students with a basic understanding of such concepts as reasons, implication, validity, and fallacies. Students will learn the logical principles of deductive and inductive inferences and the techniques of applying them for determining the validity of arguments. Elements of good reasoning from an informal perspective will also be covered.

Learning outcomes:

- 1. Acquire analytic skills and a critical disposition.
- 2. Grasp the central concepts in classical logic.
- 3. Describe the essential elements of good reasoning and argumentation.
- 4. Demonstrate familiarity with major proof-theoretic methods in propositional logic.
- 5. Translate arguments in ordinary language into symbolic argument forms.
- 6. Recognize common valid argument forms.
- 7. Identify, classify, and assess arguments in various contexts.
- 8. Identify and analyze informal fallacies.

Learning activities and workload:

- 1. Lecture: 2 hours each week.
- **2.** <u>Interactive tutorial</u>: one 2-hour session. Students are required to discuss reading material assigned and do exercises on the following topics:
 - (Week 5): Basic Concepts of Argumentation theory;
 - (Week 6): Basic Concepts of Logic;
 - (Week 8): Syllogisms and Venn Diagrams;
 - (Week 9): Truth Table;
 - (Week 12): Natural Deduction;
 - (Week 15): Inductive Reasoning and Informal Fallacies

Assessment:

Task nature	Description	Weight
Tutorial	Discussion and exercises	20%
Mid-term quiz	In class quiz	25%
Final exam	Centralized exam	40%
Take home assignment	Group assignment on Argumentation theory	
	and Informal Fallacies	15%

Grade Descriptors:

http://phil.arts.cuhk.edu.hk/~phidept/UG/Grade descriptors.pdf

Details of course website:

Lecture notes and information on assignments will be posted on the Blackboard Learn website.

Topics & schedule:

	& sched			T
Week	Date	Topic	Reading	Tutorial
1	Jan 9	Introduction		
2	Jan 16	Basic Concepts of Argumentation theory	《思方導航》,第一篇 Informal Logic, Ch.1&9	
3	Jan 23	Basic Concepts of Logic	A Concise Introduction to Logic, Ch. 1	
4	Jan 30	<u>Lunar New Year</u> <u>Holiday</u>		
5	Feb 6	Categorical Syllogisms	A Concise Introduction to Logic, Ch.4-5	1 st tutorial: Basic Concepts of Argumentation theory
6	Feb 13	Categorical Syllogisms	A Concise Introduction to Logic, Ch.4-5	2 nd tutorial: Basic Concepts of Logic
7	Feb 20	Propositional Logic: Truth table	A Concise Introduction to Logic, Ch.6	
8	Feb 27	Propositional Logic – Natural Deduction	A Concise Introduction to Logic, Ch.7	3 rd tutorial: Syllogisms and Venn Diagrams
9	Mar 5	Propositional Logic – Natural Deduction	A Concise Introduction to Logic, Ch.7	4 th tutorial: Truth Table
10	Mar 12	<u>Midterm Quiz</u>		
11	Mar 19	Inductive Reasoning	A Concise Introduction to Logic, Ch.1, 9&13 How to Think About Weird Things, Ch.6	
12	Mar 26	Informal Fallacies	《思方導航》,第五篇	5 th tutorial: Natural Deduction
13	Apr 2	<u>Reading Week</u>		
14	Apr 9	Informal Fallacies	《思方導航》,第五篇	
15	Apr 16	Logic and Pragmatics	Studies In the Way of Words, Ch.2	6 th tutorial: Inductive Reasoning and Informal Fallacies

Recommended learning resources: (# main reference)

Formal Logic:

Patrick Hurley, A Concise Introduction to Logic, 12th ed., Cengage Learning, 2015.#

David Bostock, Intermediate Logic, Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1997.

Irving Copi, Carl Cohen and Kenneth McMahon, *Introduction to Logic*, 14th ed., Pearson Education Limited, 2014.

Merrie Bergmann and James Moore, The Logic Book, 4th ed., McGraw-Hill, 1998.

林正弘、《邏輯》,三民書局,1994。

Informal Logic and Argumentation theory:

Douglas Walton, Informal Logic 2nd edition, Cambridge University Press, 2008#

Alec Fisher, The Logic of Real Arguments, Cambridge University Press, 1988.

Anthony Weston, A Rulebook for Arguments, 4th ed., Hackett Publishing Company, 2009.

Douglas Walton, Fundamentals of Critical Argumentation, Cambridge University Press, 2006

Paul Grice, Studies In the Way of Words, MA: Harvard University Press, 1989.

Theodore Schick and Lewis Vaughn, *How to Think About Weird Things: Critical Thinking for a New Age*, 7th ed., McGraw-Hill, 2014.

Trudy Govier, A Practical Study of Argument, 7th ed., Belmont, CA: Cengage Learning, 2010.

Trudy Govier, Problems in Argument Analysis and Evaluation, Foris Publications, 1987.

貝剛毅,《思方導航》(第四版),匯智出版有限公司,2014.#

李天命,《李天命的思考藝術》(最終定本),明報出版社,2009

Contact:

Lecturer		
Name:	Dr. Kwok Pak Nin, Samson	
Office Location:	KHB 414	
Consultation Hours	ion Hours Monday 10:30-12:00	
Telephone:	e: 3943-1519	
Email:	samsonkpn@cuhk.edu.hk	
Teaching Assistant		
Name:	TBA	
Office Location:		
Consultation Hours		
Email:		

Feedback for evaluation:

- 1. Students are strongly encouraged to provide feedback on the course via email or meetings with lecturer.
- 2. Students evaluate the course through a survey and written comments at the end of the term as well as via regular feedback between teacher and students. This information is highly valued and is used to revise teaching methods, tasks, and content.

Academic honesty and plagiarism:

Attention is drawn to University policy and regulations on honesty in academic work, and to the disciplinary guidelines and procedures applicable to breaches of such policy and regulations. Details may be found at http://www.cuhk.edu.hk/policy/academichonesty/.

With each assignment, students will be required to submit a signed declaration that they are aware of these policies, regulations, guidelines and procedures. For group projects, all students of the same group should be asked to sign the declaration.

For assignments in the form of a computer-generated document that is principally text-based and submitted via VeriGuide, the statement, in the form of a receipt, will be issued by the system upon students' uploading of the soft copy of the assignment. Assignments without the receipt will not be graded by teachers. Only the final version of the assignment should be submitted via VeriGuide.